FORT BENNING, BUILDING NO. 864 (Detached Garage) 7325 Miller Loop, Main Post Fort Benning Military Reservation Chattahoochee County Georgia HABS GA-2392-B GA-2392-B

PHOTOGRAPHS

WRITTEN HISTORICAL AND DESCRIPTIVE DATA

HISTORIC AMERICAN BUILDINGS SURVEY
SOUTHEAST REGIONAL OFFICE
National Park Service
U.S. Department of the Interior
100 Alabama St. NW
Atlanta, GA 30303

HISTORIC AMERICAN BUILDINGS SURVEY

FORT BENNING, BUILDING No. 864 (DETACHED GARAGE)

HABS No. GA-2392-B

Location: Building No. 864 (Detached Garage) is located at 7325 Miller Loop, Main Post, Fort Benning,

Georgia, respectively. The garage was constructed to serve the former Bachelor Officers' Quarters on

Richardson Circle.

UTM: 16.692394.3582560N

<u>Date of Construction</u>: Ca. 1934

Fabricator: A. J. Honeycutt Company, Inc., Columbus

Georgia—General Contractor, under the supervision of the War Department, Quartermaster

Corps, Construction Division.

<u>Present Owner:</u> U.S. Army Infantry Center and Fort Benning,

Columbus, Georgia

Original Use: Detached Garage

Present Use: Detached Garage

Significance: Building No. 864 is eligible for the National

Register of Historic Places as contributing elements to the proposed Main Post Cantonment Historic District. They are associated with the themes of community planning and are examples of Spanish

Colonial Revival architectural style.

Previous Investigations

Building No. 864 is a historic architectural property located north of the Main Post of Fort Benning. It is a contributing element to the Main Post Cantonment Historic District In 2005, Panamerican Consultants, Inc., prepared a treatment plan for Building No. 864 as part of a plan addressing the care and maintenance of many buildings and structures on post.¹

The Cultural Resources Management (CRM) Staff at Fort Benning and the Georgia SHPO have determined that the proposed demolition of Building No. 864 will have an adverse effect on a property eligible for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places pursuant to Section 106 (and Section 110f) of the National Historic Preservation Act (16 U.S.C. 470) and its implementing regulations, "Protection of Historic and Cultural Properties" (36 CFR Part 800). Building No. 864 is on land leased to the Fort Benning Hunt Club and no longer has an Army mission. There is no funding of maintenance and repairs for buildings without specific missions. In accordance with Army Alternate Procedures, CRM staff is preparing documentation of Building No. 864 using HABS Level I standards to mitigate the adverse effect.

Background:

History of Fort Benning from Establishment to World War II

Fort Benning, the primary training center for the U.S. Army's Infantry and home to Airborne and Ranger training, is located south of Columbus, in western Georgia. The post was named for Confederate General Henry Lewis Benning, who was from Columbus. The War Department established Camp Benning in October 1918 as a training base for forces during World War I. One of the main training programs at Benning was for the Infantry. The Infantry School traces its history back to the School of Musketry that was established at the Presidio of Monterey in 1907. In 1913, the War Department moved the school to Fort Sill, Oklahoma, along with the artillery school. During World War I, training facilities required additional expansion to accommodate the increased numbers of troops. Unlike other installations, Benning remained

¹ Stacey L. Griffin, Kelly Nolte, Jeanette Knowles, and Christine M. Longiaru, *Surveys and Treatment Plans for the Historic Properties Component. Fort Benning Military Reservation, Garages.* Prepared for Environmental Programs Management Branch, Directorate of Public Works, Fort Benning Military Reservation, Georgia (Tuscaloosa, AL: Panamerican Consultants, Inc., Architectural History Division, 2005).

open between the wars; it received permanent status after World War I.² The Army moved the U.S. Army Infantry School to a temporary camp on leased land near Columbus, Georgia, in 1918.³

After the end of World War I, troop strength and appropriations were reduced dramatically across both the entire Army and Camp Benning. When the Army purchased the former Bussey plantation, Riverside, in 1919, the main cantonment was relocated to its present location south of Upatoi Creek. During the move, the Army began construction on semi-permanent buildings to accommodate the school's population, including barracks, classrooms, bakery, laundry, post exchange, headquarters, hospital, warehouses, utilities, and rail lines, but construction was halted several times while the Army considered closing the camp.

Because of its importance to training, Camp Benning again survived the round of base closures that occurred in the early 1920s, and in 1922 Fort Benning became a permanent military installation by War Department order. Architectural historians for the cultural resources management firm R. Christopher Goodwin and Associates, Inc., suggest that the development of Fort Benning reflects the changes in permanent Army design during the 1920s and 1930s. In 1924, Brigadier General Briant H. Wells prepared a formal document, which became known as the Wells Plan, for permanent construction, emphasizing the importance of the outdoor environment of the post. Wells envisioned pleasant landscaping in the developed areas. This was an important first step in creating the campus-like environment that characterizes Fort Benning today. Additionally, the plan advocated the use of Dutch Colonial Revival architecture and featured brick and stuccoed construction with steep roofs.

² Deborah K. Cannan, Leo Hirrel, Katherine E. Grandine, Kathryn M. Kuranda, Bethany M. Usher, Hugh B. McAloon, and Martha R. Williams, *National Historic Context for Department of Defense Installations, 1790–1940*, Volume I. Prepared for U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Baltimore District (Frederick, Maryland: R. Christopher Goodwin and Associates, Inc., 1995), 29.

³ During World War I, Army leaders realized that infantry officers and NCOs needed specialized training. The Army established the Infantry Board and later the Infantry School partly to instruct the officers in machine gun tactics, the use of mortars, and other new tactics. This was a dramatic change from earlier thought that placed the infantry at the bottom of the training ladder. For more information see Geoffrey Perrett, *There's A War to Be Won: The United States Army in World War II* (New York: Ballatine Books, 1991). 7-8.

⁴ For a discussion of the general history of the U.S. Army during the inter-war years, see Maurice Matloff, General Editor, *American Military History* (Washington D.C.: Office of the Chief of Military History, 1973), 405-417.

⁵ Cannan et al., National Historic Context for Department of Defense Installations, 1790 – 1940, Volume I, 29.

⁶ Cannan et al., National Historic Context for Department of Defense Installations, 1790 – 1940, Volume I, 29.

⁷ Cannan et al., *National Historic Context for Department of Defense Installations, 1790 – 1940*, Volume I, 29; Sharyn Kane and Richard Keeton, *Fort Benning: The Land and the People* (Tallahassee, FL: Southeast Archeological Center, National Park Service, 1989).

Meanwhile, the military struggled with a nationwide military housing shortage. Approximately one-third of Army personnel in the continental United States lived in temporary structures built in 1917; the living conditions at Fort Benning fit this description. In 1926, the U.S. Congress enacted Public Law No. 45, authorizing the Secretary of War to dispose of forty-three military installations and to deposit the money received from sales into a special fund designated the Military Post Construction Fund used to construct housing and hospitals. In 1927, the first monies were expended, and Fort Benning was one of the recipients. Also at this time, the U.S. Army Quartermaster Corps, which was responsible for the design and construction at Army facilities, developed new standardized building plans and used Spanish Colonial Revival style for installations in the South, Western plains, Southwest, and California.⁸

Construction at Fort Benning continued during the New Deal (1933-1940). Work relief money, channeled through the Works Progress Administration (WPA) and the Public Works Administration (PWA) and supervised by the Army, resulted in construction of several important buildings and areas on post. Prominent city planner George B. Ford designed the new plan for Fort Benning. Several important U.S. cities and military bases laid out in the early twentieth century had elements of the City Beautiful and Garden City movements; Fort Benning's built environment was also influenced by these movements. George B. Ford, who worked on many of the Army cantonments such as Fort Benning, Georgia, and Fort Sam Houston, Texas, "recommended architectural continuity within residential neighborhoods, at the same time warning against the monotony of subdivisions. Ford advocated the use of curvilinear streets, open spaces for playgrounds, large avenues and streets, and abundant planting." 10

The War Department retained Ford as a consultant for the new posts built with the Military Post Construction Fund. His planning concepts are evident in his plan for the new post. Ford incorporated the existing permanent building with a master plan based on concepts used in the City Beautiful and Garden City movements. Housing remained grouped along curving streets. Ford introduced strong visual axes between the commanding officers' quarters and the new headquarters building, as well as between various administration buildings. The new buildings constructed during the 1930s reflected the Spanish Colonial Revival architectural tradition and featured stuccoed exteriors and red-clay tile roofs. Other installations of this era that used the Spanish

⁸ Howard B. Nurse, "The Planning of Army Posts," *The Quartermaster Review* (September-October 1928, 15); U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Seattle District, Technical Center of Expertise for Preservation of Structures and Buildings, *Context Study of the United States Quartermaster General Standardized Plans, 1866-1942.* Prepared for U.S. Army Environmental Center (Seattle, WA: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Seattle District, Technical Center of Expertise for Preservation of Structures and Buildings, 1997), 48.

⁹ For a description of the movement and its effects on urban planning, see William H. Wilson, *The City Beautiful Movement* (Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1989).

¹⁰ U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Seattle District, Technical Center of Expertise for Preservation of Structures and Buildings, Context Study of the United States Quartermaster General Standardized Plans, 1866-1942, 55.

Colonial Revival architectural style include Fort Mason, Fort Bragg, Pope Air Force Base, Presidio of San Francisco, Fort Sam Houston, Fort Bliss, Fort Sill, Maxwell Air Force Base, and Randolph Air Force Base. ¹¹

The growth of Fort Benning during the 1930s was propelled by the expansion of the Infantry School and the Civilian Conservation Corps camps. Historians note that other schools and missions came to Fort Benning. ¹² In 1932, the U.S. Army's Tank School was transferred from Fort Meade to Fort Benning.

The Development of Garages at Army Posts

With the introduction of automobiles in the United States in the early twentieth century, the U.S. Army Quartermaster Corps began to construct garages behind family housing quarters. This was also occurring in the civilian world. In 1913, the Quartermaster Corps had prepared a standardized plan for a two-car garage. The wood-frame building was covered in corrugated metal with two sets of paired side-hinged swinging doors leading to the interior space. The interior was open and had a small storeroom for oil and gasoline. Three years later the Quartermaster Corps prepared a plan for multiple-car garages. Because they were not planned, many of the early garages were constructed of salvage materials and did not originally have any architectural style. Based on previous surveys of military bases, architectural historians from R. Christopher Goodwin and Associates concur that, by the 1930s, the garages often matched the construction materials of the housing and usually displayed minimal architectural character or ornament. However, this is not true of Building No. 864; this building maintained the architectural character of the surrounding buildings.

During the Army's inter-war construction program, private garages were included in family housing complexes of many new Army and Army Air Corps installations. Garages, usually for multiple cars, were constructed of the same materials as family housing and matched (though with simpler details) the general architectural style of the post. In general, garages were located behind officer and non-commissioned officer housing. During the late 1930s, the Construction Service of the Quartermaster Corps experimented with garages attached to family housing units. The Army

¹¹ Sharyn Kane and Richard Keeton, *Fort Benning: The Land and the People*, 175-178; Cannan et al., *National Historic Context for Department of Defense Installations, 1790–1940*, Volume I, 29.

¹² Cannan et al., National Historic Context for Department of Defense Installations, 1790–1940, Volume I, 29.

¹³ Standard Plans of Army Post Buildings, 1891-1918, Plans 313, 396.

¹⁴ Cannan et al., National Historic Context for Department of Defense Installations, 1790 – 1940, Volume II, 431-434; U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Seattle District, Technical Center of Expertise for Preservation of Structures and Buildings, Context Study of the United States Quartermaster General Standardized Plans, 1866-1942, 321.

also added garages behind officers' rows at older posts; these garages usually were simple brick or wood-frame structures. 15

Construction of Building No. 864

Building No. 864 is an eighteen stall detached garage constructed in 1934 as part of the construction of 296 garages at Fort Benning, costing a total of \$92,506. The garage was a one-story building of hollow tile and stucco with tile roofs and gravel floors, constructed under the Army Housing Program for the infantry. The garage was designed to house automobiles belonging to officers at Fort Benning. Building No. 864 was constructed along Service Road (renamed Miller Loop in 1971) in the rear of Bachelor Officers' Quarters (BOQ) as shown on Plan CQM 275. 16

The construction of Building No. 864 was the result of the 1930s New Deal–era expansion of the post. In October 1933, the U.S. Army allotted over \$6 million to Fort Benning for a public works construction program. The program called for the construction of new housing, administration buildings, academic buildings, and other buildings and structures. One of the housing projects approved by the War Department in 1933 was the construction of three eighteen-apartment BOQs for \$180,000. The Army awarded a contract to A. J. Honeycutt Company, Inc., of Columbus, Georgia (General Contractor) on June 14, 1934 to construct the 864 garages, including Building No. 864, at Fort Benning. The contractor commenced construction of the garages on June 21, 1934; they completed the work and the Constructing Quartermaster accepted the garages on November 14, 1934. The buildings were transferred to the Commanding General on November 16, 1934. While the garages were constructed by an outside contractor, the U.S. Army Constructing Quartermaster provided supervision of the project. Table 1 presents the U.S. Army Constructing Quartermaster personnel involved in the construction of Building No. 864.

¹⁵ U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Seattle District, Technical Center of Expertise for Preservation of Structures and Buildings, Context Study of the United States Quartermaster General Standardized Plans, 1866-1942, 321.

¹⁶ Most of the specific information regarding the construction of the garages came from Carl H. Jabelonsky, *Completion of Report for the Construction of Two Hundred Ninety-Six Garages at Fort Benning, Georgia* (Fort Benning, GA: Office of the Constructing Quartermaster, 1934).

¹⁷ "Fort Benning Building Program Announced," *Benning Herald*, (6 October 1933), 1; "Construction Just Started on Thirty Officers' Quarters," *Benning Herald*, (8 December 1933), 1, 4.

¹⁸ Jabelonsky, Completion of Report for the Construction of Two Hundred Ninety-Six Garages at Fort Benning, Georgia, 3.

Table 1. U.S. Army Constructing Quartermaster Personnel Involved in the Construction of Building No. 864.

Position	Name
Postuon	Name
Constructing Quartermaster	Captain, Carl H. Jabelonsky
Assistant Constructing Quartermaster	Captain Eugene W. Lewis
	2nd Lt. Carl M. Sciple
Associate Engineer	Louis E. Ebeling
Associate Engineer	Jesse P. Calhoun
Mechanical Engineer	A.J. Lichty
Principal Draftsman	L.D. Raines
Inspector	J. B. Holt
	O.E. Mellon
	L. F. Pratt
	Arnold Kamper

Table 2 details the organization and major individuals in A. J. Honeycutt Company's organization. According to the 1934 *Completion Report*, the Quartermaster Corps found that the General Contractor's work was "very satisfactory...their workmanship was excellent." As with most construction projects, A. J. Honeycutt Company utilized several subcontractors including Hinkle Brothers, Birmingham, Alabama (roofing); J. R. Green Plastering Co., Columbus, Georgia (stucco); and J. R. Payne & Co., Inc., Montgomery, Alabama (brickwork). Table 3 presents a complete list of materials used in the buildings along with the manufacturers' names and addresses. This information provides evidence of the use of local and regional contractors and subcontractors as a means to stimulate the local economy, which was very important in the New Deal. Historian Daniel Goldfield suggests that it was the influx of federal money, specifically for military construction during World War II and the Cold War, which resulted in the economic rebirth of the New South.

Table 2. Major Individuals and Their Roles in A. J. Honeycutt Company, Inc. 21

Name	Position	
H. L. Longorier	Superintendent	
A. O. Grinaker	Carpenter foreman	
D. L. Falls	Labor foreman	
C. M. Williams	Timekeeper	
Mile Burns	Painting foreman	

¹⁹ Jabelonsky, Completion of Report for the Construction of Two Hundred Ninety-Six Garages at Fort Benning, Georgia, 5-6.

²⁰ David R. Goldfield, *Cotton Fields and Skyscrapers: Southern City and Region* (1982; Baltimore, MD: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1989).

²¹ Jabelonsky, Completion of Report for the Construction of Two Hundred Ninety-Six Garages at Fort Benning, Georgia, 3.

Table 3. Complete List of Materials Used in the Buildings with the Manufacturers' Names and Addresses.²²

Names and Addresses.				
Product	Supplier	Location		
CONCRETE				
Sand	Wardlaw Sand & Gravel Co.	Columbus, GA		
Gravel	Wardlaw Sand & Gravel Co.	Columbus, GA		
Portland cement	Atlas Cement Co.	Birmingham, AL		
Form lumber	Alexander Lumber Co.	Columbus, GA		
Form oil	Sinclair Oil Company	Columbus, GA		
Reinforcing steel	Columbus Iron Works	Columbus, GA		
Anchors	Columbus Iron Works	Columbus, GA		
BRICK AND BUILDING TILE				
Sand	Wardlaw Sand & Gravel Co.	Columbus, GA		
Mill mixture	Southern Cement Co.	Birmingham, AL		
Common brick	Clay Products Exchange	Columbus, GA		
Wall tile	Clay Products Exchange	Columbus, GA		
<u>LIMESTONE</u>				
Non-staining cement	Southern Cement Co.	Birmingham, AL		
ROOFING AND SHEET METAL				
Mission tile	National Fireproofing Co.	Pittsburgh, PA		
Roofer's cement	Peciorra Paint Co.	Pittsburgh, PA		
Roofing paper	Flint Coat Co.	new Orleans, LA		
Roofing nails	Clendenin Brothers	Baltimore, MD		
Gutters	Milwaukee Corrugation Co.	Milwaukee, WI		
Gutter hangers	Whitney Corporation	Richmond Hill, NY		
Downspout hangers	Whitney Corporation	Richmond Hill, NY		
Water strainers	Milwaukee Corrugation Co.	Milwaukee, WI		
Solder	Federated Metals Corporation	St. Louis, MO		
STUCCO				
Sand	Wardlaw Sand & Gravel Co.	Columbus, GA		
Portland cement	Atlas Cement Co.	Birmingham, AL		
Metal lath	U.S. Gypsum Co.	New York, NY		
Colored Portland cement	C. K. Williams Co.	Pittsburgh, PA		
CARPENTRY				
Framing lumber	Alexander Lumber Co.	Columbus, GA		
Millwork	National Wood Works	Birmingham, AL		

Jabelonsky, Completion of Report for the Construction of Two Hundred Ninety-Six Garages at Fort Benning, Georgia, 4-5.

FORT BENNING, BUILDING No. 864 HABS No. GA-2392-B (Page 9)

Product	Supplier	Location
ROUGH HARDWARE Nails	Hubbard Hardware Co.	Columbus, GA
FINISHING HARDWARE Finishing hardware	Stanley Hardware Co.	New Britain CT
PAINT Prepared paints and putty	Gillman Paint Co.	Chattanooga, TN

Because the Army used New Deal relief funds for this project, it had to meet certain labor requirements prescribed by the National Relief Administration and other agencies. According to the 1934 *Completion Report*, 75 percent of the workers used in the project were members of a labor union, and 25 percent of the labor force was procured from the reemployment service. In addition to the percentage of labor used, the codes also required certain pay rates. Also, the minimum hourly rate for skilled labor was \$1.00, while unskilled laborers were paid 40 cents per hour.²³

⁻

²³ Jabelonsky, Completion of Report for the Construction of Two Hundred Ninety-Six Garages at Fort Benning, Georgia, 5.

Description of Building No. 864:

Building No. 864 is an eighteen-stall detached garage constructed using provisions of Specifications No. 6417-B and Drawing No. Constructing Quartermaster (CQM) 275-308-312, which were prepared by the Office of the CQM, Fort Benning, Georgia. It is similar in design to Buildings No. 865 and 866. According to the Real Property Records, the garage is 178'-7" long, 21'-9" wide, and 15'-9" high. The *Completion Report* accurately gives the dimensions of the structure as 178'-6" long, 21'-8" wide, and 15'-9" high. The entrance to each stall is 8'-4" wide, and the garage doors are separated by a 1'-6" concrete wall. Based on the Real Property Records, the garage cost \$7,223.76 to construct. 25

The building sits on a concrete foundation and currently has a concrete floor that was added after the original construction. According to the 1934 *Completion Report*, the floor was gravel. It appears that sometime later, the Army covered the floor with concrete; however, no documented evidence was located to verify this alteration. The interior stalls are separated by 2" x 4" stud walls covered with corrugated metal walls.

The outer walls are constructed of clay tile covered by stucco. The wood-frame roof has one-inch tongue-in-groove decking, felt underlayment, asphalt shingles, and barrel-style mission clay tile. Building No. 864's roof does not have the mission clay tile and is covered with asphalt shingles. All roofs have exposed wooden rafter ends.

The main façades of the buildings are eighteen bays long. Each stall is separated by a concrete-block base. The garage doors are wooden, twenty-five-panel doors. According to the original drawings, the building had a copper gutter system; this has been removed. The gable ends of the building have a concrete base, stucco walls, and hooded rafters. The rear of each building has 18 three-over-three-panes, wood-frame windows that are 2'-10" x 2'-5". The windows sit on a cast stone lentil.

 $^{^{24}}$ Jabelonsky, Completion of Report for the Construction of Two Hundred Ninety-Six Garages at Fort Benning, Georgia, 2.

²⁵ Fort Benning Real Property Record, Building 864. On file at the Real Property Office, Fort Benning, Georgia.

Sources Consulted

- 1. Primary and unpublished sources:
- "Construction Just Started on Thirty Officers' Quarters," *Benning Herald* (8 December 1933), 1, 4.
- "Fort Benning Building Program Announced," Benning Herald III (24) (6 October 1933), 1.
- Fort Benning Real Property Records, Building No. 864. On file at the Real Property Office, Fort Benning, Georgia.
- Jabelonsky, Carl H. Completion of Report for the Construction of Two Hundred Ninety-Six Garages at Fort Benning, Georgia. Fort Benning, GA: Office of the Constructing Quartermaster, 1934.
- Nurse, Howard B. "The Planning of Army Posts." *The Quartermaster Review* (September-October) 1928, 15.
 - 2. Secondary and published sources:
- Cannan, Deborah K., Leo Hirrel, Katherine E. Grandine, Kathryn M. Kuranda, Bethany M. Usher, Hugh B. McAloon, and Martha R. Williams. *National Historic Context for Department of Defense Installations*, 1790 1940, Volume I. Prepared for U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Baltimore District. Frederick, Maryland: R. Christopher Goodwin and Associates, Inc., 1995.
- _____. National Historic Context for Department of Defense Installations, 1790 1940, Volume II. Prepared for U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Baltimore District. Frederick, Maryland: R. Christopher Goodwin and Associates, Inc., 1995.
- Fisher, W. Robinson and Christina Johnson. *Historic Building Survey: Fort Benning, Georgia*. Prepared for the Savannah District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Athens, Georgia: Robinson Fisher Associates, Inc., and Southeastern Archeological Services, Inc., 1987.
- Goldfield, David R. Cotton Fields and Skyscrapers: Southern City and Region. 1982. Baltimore, MD: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1989.
- Kane, Sharyn and Richard Keeton, Fort Benning: The Land and the People. Tallahassee, FL: Southeast Archeological Center, National Park Service, 1989.

- Matloff, Maurice, General Editor. *American Military History*. Washington D.C.: Office of the Chief of Military History, 1973.
- Perrett, Geoffrey. There's A War to Be Won: The United States Army in World War II. New York: Ballatine Books, 1991.
- The Jaeger Company and Southern Research Historic Preservation Consultants, Inc. 1997 Fort Benning Historic Resource Survey Update. Volume One: Survey Report. Prepared for U.S. Army Infantry Center, Directorate of Public Works, Environmental Management Division, Fort Benning, GA. Gainesville, GA and Ellerslie, GA: The Jaeger Company and Southern Research Historic Preservation Consultants, Inc., 1997.
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Seattle District, Technical Center of Expertise for Preservation of Structures and Buildings. *Context Study of the United States Quartermaster General Standardized Plans, 1866-1942.* Prepared for U.S. Army Environmental Center. Seattle, WA: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Seattle District, Technical Center of Expertise for Preservation of Structures and Buildings, 1997.
- Wilson, William H. *The City Beautiful Movement*. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1989.

Project Information:

In March 2007, Shaw Infrastructure, Inc. (Shaw) contracted with Brockington and Associates, Inc., to prepare Historic American Building Survey (HABS) Level I Documentation of Building No. 864 and provide the documentation to Shaw, Fort Benning's Environmental Programs Management Branch, the Georgia State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO), the National Park Service (NPS), the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, and other interested parties as required under the National Historic Preservation Act. Fort Benning proposes to demolish Building No. 864 because it is in disrepair. It has been determined that it is more cost efficient to demolish the building than to rehabilitate it. Edward G. Salo of Brockington and Associates, Inc., prepared the historical narrative and the description of Building 864. William Brockenbrough, also of Brockington and Associates, Inc., served as the project photographer. The historical research and written documentation was completed in 2007 and was based on fieldwork completed in March 2007.

FORT BENNING, BUILDING No. 864 HABS No. GA-2392-B (Page 13)

U.S.G.S. 7.5 minute Fort Benning, Georgia Quadrangle showing location of Building No. 864

